

1

2

3

4

5

6

ICCF Guidance #03
Homogeneity Testing of Feed Ingredients
October 2019
At Step 4: Public Consultation

HOMOGENEITY TESTING OF FEED INGREDIENTS

October 2019
Adopted by the ICCF Steering Committee

The International Cooperation for Convergence of Technical Requirements for the Assessment of Feed Ingredients (ICCF) was launched in 2017 and aims to develop and establish common guidance documents to provide technical recommendations for the assessment of feed ingredients, including new uses of existing feed ingredients.

The founding members of the ICCF include the Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA), the European Commission (DG SANTE), the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), as well as the American Feed Industry Association (AFIA), the Animal Nutrition Association of Canada (ANAC), the EU Association of Specialty Feed Ingredients and their Mixtures (FEFANA) and the International Feed Industry Federation (IFIF).

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION2

1.1. Objective of the Guidance..... 2

1.2. Definitions 2

1.3. Scope of the Guidance 3

2. GENERAL PRINCIPLES.....3

3. HOMOGENEITY TESTING.....4

3.1. Homogeneous Distribution of the Feed Ingredient in Its Market Formulation 5

3.2. Homogeneous Distribution of the Feed Ingredient in Premixture 5

3.3. Homogeneous Distribution of the Feed Ingredient in Feed..... 6

3.4. Homogeneous Distribution of the Feed Ingredient in Drinking Water for Animals..... 7

4. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS:.....7

4.1. Silage Ingredient 7

4.2. Flavoring Agent..... 7

4.3. Colorant..... 8

5. SAMPLING FOR A HOMOGENEITY STUDY.....8

6. DATA EVALUATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS9

7. DATA REPORTING 10

7.1. The Description of a Homogeneity Study Should Include:..... 10

7.2. The Analytical Data Should Include: 10

8. BIBLIOGRAPHY 11

29

HOMOGENEITY TESTING OF FEED INGREDIENTS

30 1. INTRODUCTION

31 1.1. Objective of the Guidance

32 This document provides guidance regarding the homogeneity testing approaches and data to be
33 included in a pre-market approval or authorization application for feed ingredients.

34 Considerations in the document are provided for the assessment of feed ingredients throughout the
35 feed chain and using different intended feed matrices. Guidance has been developed with an
36 international team of experts and represents the best practices for the provision of meaningful results.

37 While this guidance supports the acceptability of the study protocol, applicants are advised to consult
38 the appropriate regulatory authorities or their guidelines during the development phase of new feed
39 ingredients or a new use of an authorized feed ingredient. This will help to determine whether the
40 study described herein is acceptable, or if the study is needed for pre-market assessment.

41 1.2. Definitions

42 The following definitions apply solely in the context of this guidance document:

43 **Active substance**¹: Any substance in a feed ingredient that contributes to the intended effect.

44 **Batch**: An identified quantity of a feed ingredient or intended matrix having uniform characteristics,
45 with specified limits and being produced from the same cycle of manufacturing production.

46 **Coefficient of variation (CV)**: A measurement of relative variability. It expresses the standard deviation
47 as a percent of the mean. It is calculated using the formula:

48
$$CV = \frac{SD}{\bar{X}} \times 100\%$$

49 SD = standard deviation, \bar{X} = mean

50 **Feed (Feedingstuff)**²: Any single or multiple materials, whether processed, semi-processed or raw,
51 which is intended to be fed directly to animals.

52 **Feed ingredient**²: A component part or constituent of any combination or mixture making up a feed,
53 whether or not it has nutritional value in the animal's diet. Ingredients are of plant, animal, microbial or
54 aquatic origin, or other organic or inorganic substances.

¹ Active substance includes microorganisms that contribute to the intended effect.

² Note adapted from Codex Alimentarius, Code of Practice on good animal feeding (CAC/RCP 54-2004)

55 **Feed Supplement:** A feed used with another feed to improve the nutritive balance or performance of
56 the total ration and intended to be:

- 57 (1) Fed undiluted as a supplement to other feeds; or
58 (2) Offered free choice with other parts of the ration separately available; or
59 (3) Further diluted and mixed to produce a complete feed.

60 **Homogeneity:** The ability of a feed ingredient to be distributed uniformly throughout its intended
61 matrices.

62 **Intended matrix:** The matrix expected to be used in the market to supply the feed ingredient to the
63 animals. It may include the market formulations, premixtures, feeds, feed supplements, and drinking
64 water for animals.

65 **Market formulation:** A mixture of one or more feed ingredients and other functional or diluent
66 materials, that is formulated and packaged together to be marketed and incorporated into intended
67 matrices. It is not intended for direct feeding to animals.

68 **Premixture (Premix):** A uniform mixture of one or more micro-ingredients/feed ingredients with
69 diluent and/or carrier, not intended for direct feeding to animals. It is used to facilitate uniform
70 dispersion of the micro-ingredients/feed ingredients in a larger mix.

71 **1.3. Scope of the Guidance**

72 This guidance document addresses the homogeneity testing of feed ingredients, when incorporated
73 into its intended matrices.

74 The types of feed ingredients covered by this guidance in each regulatory jurisdiction are determined
75 by each region's relevant statutes and regulations.

76 **2. GENERAL PRINCIPLES**

77 A homogeneity study should evaluate the uniform distribution of the feed ingredient to demonstrate
78 that the active substance(s) contained in the feed ingredient can be homogeneously distributed under
79 conditions of the proposed use in the intended matrices. For nutritional feed ingredients, this is to
80 ensure the animal's uniform exposure to the feed ingredient and avoid either a nutrient deficiency or
81 overexposure. For feed ingredients having other intended effects in feed, this is to ensure the intended
82 effect can be achieved uniformly. The homogeneity study shall allow the demonstration of the ability of
83 the feed ingredient to be uniformly mixed in the intended matrices, using mixing equipment that is
84 readily available to a feed manufacturer (see **Section 5**).

85 When the feed ingredient contains more than one active substance, it is not necessary to test each
86 active substance, unless there are reasons to assume that all active substances contained in the feed
87 ingredient would not follow the same distribution pattern. Homogeneity testing should be conducted
88 under the intended conditions of use of the feed ingredient. In particular, the way the feed ingredient is

89 incorporated in the intended matrix should be considered (e.g., mixing of a solid feed ingredient,
90 spraying of a liquid form feed ingredient).

91 The homogeneity test should be based on appropriate sampling procedures (see **Section 5**) to ensure
92 the representative samples are tested. The sampling procedures should consider the physical
93 characteristics of the ingredient, the approach to incorporate the feed ingredient into the intended
94 matrices, and the variation of analytical method(s) used. The study should include a minimum of
95 10 representative samples that are taken from one batch of the intended matrix being tested (see
96 **Section 5**). The concentration/activity of the active substance(s) contained in the feed ingredient in
97 each sample is measured using an appropriate analytical method. The Coefficient of Variation (CV)
98 should be calculated using results from all the samples collected to demonstrate the homogeneity (see
99 **Section f**). Depending on the use level of feed ingredient in the intended matrix tested, the acceptable
100 CV may vary. For example, if the concentration/activity of the active substance in the intended matrix is
101 very low, such as low parts per million (ppm) or in the parts per billion (ppb) range, the acceptable CV
102 for the tested feed ingredient may be higher than the one of another feed ingredient used at higher
103 level.

104 Analytical method(s) used in a homogeneity study should be regulatory or internationally accepted
105 methods for the relevant active substance in the intended matrix. In the absence of such methods, the
106 method of analysis should be validated in all the intended matrices in which the homogeneity of the
107 feed ingredient is intended to be tested. The method validation should follow the protocols
108 recommended by international standards or guidance.

109 **3. HOMOGENEITY TESTING**

110 The need for homogeneity testing and how it should be conducted depends on the proposed conditions
111 of use of the feed ingredient, including the directions for incorporation in the intended matrices and
112 the proposed use level in those. When the intended use level of a feed ingredient in a specific feed
113 matrix is a range of levels, the homogeneity test should be conducted using the minimum intended use
114 level.

115 This section describes the approach to conduct a homogeneity test in each of the intended matrix. If
116 the feed ingredient is to be used in different matrix compositions and the difference in compositions
117 may impact the ability of the feed ingredient to be evenly distributed, the applicant can either test the
118 feed ingredient in each composition or test the feed ingredient in a representative intended matrix
119 suitable for the target animal species. In that case, the choice of the composition tested should be
120 justified.

121 For example, a feed ingredient is intended to be produced in two different market formulations (liquid
122 and solid). A homogeneity test in each of the solid and liquid market formulation should be considered.

123 Another example is when a feed ingredient is to be used in premixtures for cattle, swine, and poultry,
124 differing in their composition for each target species. It may be acceptable to choose one

125 representative premixture composition for cattle, swine and poultry species, respectively, for
126 homogeneity testing. A rationale should be provided to justify the choice of the representative
127 compositions.

128 **3.1. Homogeneous Distribution of the Feed Ingredient in Its Market Formulation**

129 When a feed ingredient is formulated and packaged with other substances (e.g. diluent) prior to being
130 marketed, a homogeneity test should be considered. This will ensure the proper control of the feed
131 ingredient market formulation (e.g., in compliance with the label guarantees) and adequate
132 incorporation in subsequent intended matrices.

133 A homogeneity test in a feed ingredient market formulation is recommended but may not be necessary
134 when properly justified.

135 The following elements should be considered when determining whether a homogeneity test is needed
136 for a feed ingredient market formulation:

- 137 a) The physical characteristic of the market formulation. For example, it may be more difficult to
138 evenly distribute a feed ingredient in a viscous formulation than in a dry free flowing
139 formulation.
- 140 b) The particle size of the feed ingredient and other component(s) used in the formulation. For
141 example, a significant difference in particle size between the feed ingredient and the other
142 components could cause uneven mixing.
- 143 c) The inclusion level of the feed ingredient in the formulation. In general, it is more challenging to
144 evenly distribute a feed ingredient when the inclusion level in the market formulation is low.
- 145 d) The safety profile of the feed ingredient. For certain feed ingredients, its unique safety profile
146 may warrant a homogeneity test to ensure the uniform distribution in the market formulation.
147 For example, selenium has a very narrow safe and effective working range. The effective
148 concentration of selenium is very close to the lowest concentration at which selenium becomes
149 toxic. For a feed ingredient intended to be used as a selenium source, a homogeneity test may
150 be needed to ensure the even distribution of the ingredient in its market formulation to avoid
151 safety concerns for animals.

152 When a homogeneity test is needed, it should be conducted at the intended concentration/activity
153 level of the active substance and composition of the final market formulation.

154 **3.2. Homogeneous Distribution of the Feed Ingredient in Premixture**

155 Testing the ability of the feed ingredient to be evenly distributed in a premixture is recommended,
156 when the feed ingredient is intended to be used in a premixture prior to the incorporation into animal
157 feed. To conduct a homogeneity test in premixture, the feed ingredient should be incorporated into the
158 premixture at the intended inclusion level using mixing equipment that is readily available and
159 commonly used in the feed industry (see **Section 5**). The quantitative and qualitative composition of the

160 premixtures should be provided. The composition of the premixtures should reflect formulations
161 commonly used in the regulatory region in which the approvals will be sought and be representative for
162 the target animal species (e.g. poultry, swine, ruminants, aquatic animals).

163 **3.3. Homogeneous Distribution of the Feed Ingredient in Feed**

164 Testing the ability of the feed ingredient to be evenly distributed in a specific feed matrix is
165 recommended to ensure that the intended effect of the ingredient can be achieved uniformly
166 throughout the feed. To conduct a homogeneity test in feed, the feed ingredient should be
167 incorporated into the feed at the intended inclusion level using mixing equipment that is readily
168 available and commonly used in feed industry (See **Section 5**). The quantitative and qualitative
169 composition of the feed should be provided. The composition of the feed tested should reflect
170 formulations commonly used in the regulatory region in which the approval will be sought and be
171 representative for the target animals (e.g. poultry, swine, ruminant, aquatic animals).

172 If a feed ingredient is intended to be directly added in feed and a properly conducted homogeneity test
173 demonstrates a homogeneous distribution of the feed ingredient in feed, a test in the premixture
174 according to **Section 3.2** is not necessary.

175 If adding a feed ingredient directly into the feed could potentially cause a homogeneity issue, for
176 example if the inclusion level of the feed ingredient in feed is too low for the active substance to be
177 accurately analyzed using current available analytical methods, or the physical characteristic of the feed
178 ingredient (e.g. particle size, viscosity) makes it a challenge to evenly distribute it throughout the feed,
179 a pre-dilution of the feed ingredient in a premixture prior to the incorporation in feed should be
180 considered. In that case, the homogeneity of the feed ingredient in the premixture should be
181 demonstrated following the recommendation provided in **Section 3.2** of this guidance.

182 When a feed ingredient is intended to be used in both mash feed and pelleted feed produced from the
183 same mash feed, a homogeneity test in one form (either mash or pelleted) may be used to support the
184 homogeneity in both mash and pelleted feeds.

185 For a feed ingredient intended to be used in a liquid form feed supplement, if the feed ingredient is
186 soluble/miscible at the proposed use level, the homogeneity test is generally not necessary. Otherwise,
187 as there is a higher possibility of separation of the feed ingredient, a homogeneity study should be
188 conducted at the intended inclusion level(s) under the conditions simulating practical use. The
189 homogeneity test should also be conducted at two time points, preferably at the time of production
190 and at the end of the proposed shelf life to demonstrate the feed ingredient remains evenly distributed
191 over the anticipated lifetime of the liquid feed supplements. If the CV from the homogeneity test at the
192 end of the proposed shelf life is significantly higher than that from the initial test, or if the visual,
193 physical inspection demonstrate absence of homogeneity, labeling instructions for
194 agitation/recirculation of the liquid feed supplements may be needed to ensure the even distribution of

195 the feed ingredient over the anticipated lifetime, either at the time of feeding or during the storage
196 period.

197 **3.4. Homogeneous Distribution of the Feed Ingredient in Drinking Water for Animals**

198 For a feed ingredient intended to be administered to animals through drinking water, if the feed
199 ingredient is soluble/miscible in water at the proposed use level, a homogeneity test is generally not
200 necessary. Otherwise, the test should demonstrate that the feed ingredient can be dispersed in water
201 uniformly at the intended inclusion level and under specified water conditions simulating practical use
202 (e.g. pH, mineral contents, water temperature, time, microbial contents, dispersion/suspension of the
203 ingredient). If a dispersion/suspension system is used, the homogeneity test should also demonstrate
204 whether the ingredient is uniformly dispersed over the anticipated lifetime of the drinking water for
205 animals (typically 48 hours). A test at the end of the anticipated lifetime (e.g. 48 hours) is acceptable to
206 demonstrate the homogeneous distribution of the feed ingredient over the anticipated lifetime of
207 drinking water for animals.

208 In the case where the CV is significantly higher at the end of the anticipated lifetime (48 hours) than at
209 the time of introduction in drinking water for animals, or if the visual, physical inspection demonstrate
210 absence of homogeneity, the label instructions should indicate that the drinking water containing the
211 feed ingredients should be used quickly and agitation/recirculation may be needed to ensure the
212 intended effect.

213 **4. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS:**

214 **4.1. Silage Ingredient**

215 The homogeneity test for a silage ingredient in its market formulations and in premixture if it is
216 intended to be added in a premixture prior to incorporation into silage should be conducted according
217 to **Sections 3.1** and **3.2**, as appropriate.

218 For a silage ingredient, a homogeneity test in the silo is normally not necessary. The homogeneous
219 distribution of a silage ingredient in the silo may be supported by demonstration of its intended effect.

220 **4.2. Flavoring Agent**

221 The homogeneity test for a flavoring agent in its market formulation and in premixtures should be
222 conducted according to **Sections 3.1** and **3.2**, as appropriate.

223 When an analytical method is available for feed and/or drinking water for animals, either to
224 quantitatively determine the concentration of the flavoring substance or, in case of complex flavoring
225 mixture (e.g., crude extract/oil), the predominant compound(s) providing flavoring or the marker
226 compound(s) if the predominant compound(s) cannot be identified, a test should be conducted
227 according to **Section 3.3** and **3.4**, as relevant.

228 When no analytical method is available to quantitatively determine the concentration of the flavoring
229 agent, or the predominant compound(s) providing flavoring or the marker compound(s) of a crude
230 extract/oil in feed or in drinking water for animals, the applicant should adequately describe the
231 approach/dilution process used to incorporate the flavoring agent in the feed or in drinking water for
232 animals to ensure the proper mixability. In addition, depending on the acceptance of the regional
233 authority, the demonstration of feed palatability, may be used to support the proper mixability of a
234 flavoring agent.

235 **4.3. Colorant³**

236 The homogeneity test for a colorant in its market formulation and in premixtures should be conducted
237 according to **Sections 3.1** and **3.2**, as appropriate.

238 If the intended effect of the colorant is to add or restore color to feed or drinking water or is used in
239 feed for ornamental fish and birds, a homogeneity test in feed and/or water is normally not necessary.
240 For a colorant to be used in feed or drinking water for pigmenting tissues or animal products (e.g. eggs)
241 of food producing animals, a homogeneity test in feed and/or water should be conducted under the
242 proposed conditions of use.

243 **5. SAMPLING FOR A HOMOGENEITY STUDY**

244 A proper homogeneity study should be conducted using at least 10 samples taken from one batch of
245 the intended matrices, using mixing equipment that is readily available and commonly used in the feed
246 industry. Each sample should contain enough material to carry out the necessary analysis. Samples
247 from commercial production batches are preferred to be used in homogeneity studies. If samples from
248 pilot or laboratory scale production are used, the mixing process using pilot or laboratory scale
249 equipment should be comparable with the mixing process of commercial production. A justification
250 should be provided to support that the homogeneity data obtained from the pilot or laboratory scale
251 batches reflect the homogeneity of the commercial production batches.

252 To ensure that the samples taken are representative of the distribution of the feed ingredient in the
253 batch under evaluation, an appropriate sampling plan should be employed and provided to the
254 regulatory agency. The following are some approaches that can be envisaged. These approaches are
255 applicable to both dry and liquid form of intended matrices including drinking water for animals, as
256 relevant:

³ In the United States of America, the Center for Veterinary Medicine (CVM) of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approves the food additives used in animal food (including drinking water for animals). However, color additives, including those intended to be used in animal food, are approved by FDA's Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN). Please contact CFSAN or visit www.fda.gov for detailed information regarding data and information needed for a color additive approval.

- 257 a) Timed sampling during the production process: samples of same amount taken at evenly
258 distributed time intervals over the course of emptying the mixer/blender or at the final
259 production step (e.g. pelleting or extrusion process).
260 b) Geometric sampling in a bulk container: samples of same amount are taken at different
261 locations within the container. The locations of the sampled points should be evenly distributed
262 throughout the container. The sampling process should avoid unnecessary disturbance to the
263 matrix in the bulk container.
264 c) Sampling from different containers of the same batch: samples of same amount are taken from
265 at least 10 containers randomly selected throughout the entire batch. If the containers can be
266 traced by production time, samples can also be taken from at least 10 containers with evenly
267 distributed production time intervals.

268 Sampling equipment must be suitable for taking representative samples from the intended matrix being
269 tested (e.g. grain probe or other standardized particulate matter or liquid sampling device). The
270 sampling equipment should be properly cleaned between each sampling to avoid potential carry-over
271 from one sample to another. Samples taken from each specified location/time point should be the
272 same amount and each sample should be adequate to perform the necessary analyses. Any further
273 mixing among samples must be avoided.

274 Samples must be properly labelled with the sampled matrix, the expected level of the feed ingredient
275 and the origin of the sample (time or location of the samples depending on the sampling method used).

276 **6. DATA EVALUATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

277 The analytical results from all samples should be presented. A CV should be calculated based on the
278 analytical results of all the samples, unless the exclusion of any outlier(s) is properly justified.

279 It is recommended to take more than 10 samples to address potential outliers identified during
280 analysis. An analytical result should be considered an outlying result only if properly justified, using an
281 appropriate statistical evaluation. When outlying values are detected, these should be reported and
282 should be removed from the calculation of the CV. In any case, the number of samples used for the
283 calculation of the CV cannot be less than 10.

284 The acceptability of a CV value to demonstrate homogenous distribution of a feed ingredient in its
285 intended matrices depends on several factors. To evaluate the ability of the feed ingredient to be
286 homogeneously mixed in the relevant intended matrices, it is recommended to consider the following
287 elements:

- 288 a) The concentration/activity of the active substance contained in the feed ingredient in the
289 intended matrix tested (i.e. for a low concentration/activity, a higher CV might be acceptable)
290 b) The precision and variability of the analytical method at the concentration tested (i.e. for less
291 precise methods of analysis, a higher CV might be acceptable)
292 c) The safety profile of the feed ingredients (i.e. if the feed ingredient is well tolerated by the
293 target animals, a higher CV might be acceptable)

294 **7. DATA REPORTING**

295 The homogeneity study report should include a description of the study (including the sampling plan)
296 and all analytical data. The CV should be reported based on the analytical test results.

297 **7.1. The Description of a Homogeneity Study Should Include:**

- 298 a) Identity of the ingredient under study;
299 Note: Documents (e.g. Certificates of Analyses) should be provided to demonstrate the name,
300 batch numbers, manufacturing dates and contents of the feed ingredient under test.
301 b) Analyte(s) and parameter(s) that are tested for, including the active substance, predominant
302 substance, and marker compound when relevant;
303 c) Qualitative and quantitative compositions of the intended matrices used in the test;
304 d) Proposed inclusion levels of ingredient in the intended matrices;
305 e) Mixing procedure for each tested matrix;
306 f) Sampling protocol, including sample collection approach, number(s) of samples and sample
307 sizes for each type of intended matrices;
308 g) Name and address of the testing facility.

309 **7.2. The Analytical Data Should Include:**

- 310 a) Actual test date
311 b) Individual analytical result with measurement units for each sample tested with a reference to
312 the batch number
313 Note: Original analyst worksheets, spectra, chromatograms, certificates of analyses, charts, or
314 other pertinent information should be submitted to support and verify reported analytical
315 results. The CV calculated with all tested samples should be provided, unless the exclusion of
316 outlier(s) is properly justified (see **Section 6**). When providing instrument/computer printouts,
317 explanations should be included to clarify information such as sample identification, method
318 code, etc. It is recommended to consult the regional regulatory authorities to determine
319 whether the original data are necessary for a specific submission.
320 c) Description of test method(s)
321 Note: If a test method is not a regulatory or internationally accepted method for the intended
322 analysis, method validation information may be needed to support the use of the method in
323 the homogeneity study.
324 d) Evaluation (e.g. statistical analysis) of the data and summarized data presentation (tables,
325 charts, etc.)
326 Note: The data points excluded from the calculation of the CV (i.e., outlier(s)) should be
327 included in the raw data.

328 **8. BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- 329 a) Association of American Feed Control Officials, 2019 Official Publication
- 330 b) EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed),
- 331 Rychen G, Aquilina G, Azimonti G, Bampidis V, Bastos ML, Bories G, Chesson A, Cocconcelli PS,
- 332 Flachowsky G, Gropp J, Kolar B, Kouba M, Lopez-Alonso M, Lopez Puente S, Mantovani A, Mayo
- 333 B, Ramos F, Saarela M, Villa RE, Wallace RJ, Wester P, Anguita M, Galobart J and Innocenti ML,
- 334 2017. Guidance on the identity, characterisation and conditions of use of feed additives. EFSA
- 335 Journal 2017;15(10):5023, 12 pp.
- 336 c) European Union Commission Regulation (EC) No 429/2008 of 25 April 2008 on Detailed rules
- 337 for the Implementation of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 of the European Parliament and of the
- 338 Council as Regards the Preparation and the Presentation of Applications and the Assessment
- 339 and the Authorization of Feed Additives; Official Journal of the European Union L133/1
- 340 d) Universal Feed Assurance Scheme (UFAS) Guidance Sampling and Testing April 2016
- 341 e) US Food and Drug Administration, Center for Veterinary Medicine, Guidance for Industry # 221
- 342 - Recommendations for Preparation and Submission of Animal Food Additive Petitions, June
- 343 2015

Draft for Public Consultation